Clapp affidavit: statute limitations.

The 4/26-28/73 exchange relates to the running and if any meaning can be taken from the two letters it is that Harvey did not admit the statute had run and needed the discovery material I still had to make his response to their motion on the running of the statute.

My response says I had not understood this to be the question he was addressing. In fact he still did not state thus. I deduced it.

Separate question: did the judge's decision hang fire so long after there were mptions and responses - and if the judge did not rule formally with all of this before him was I to have believed the statute had run?